

SENSITIVITY OF REMITTANCE FLOW TOWARDS GNP & FOREIGN RESERVE: A CRITICAL APPRAISAL IN CONTEXT OF BANGLADESH

¹MUHAMMAD RABI ULLAH, ²MOSTAFIZUR RAHMAN & ³SIRAJUL ISLAM

¹Lecturer, Department of Economics, Bangladesh University of Business & Technology (BUBT)

Dhaka Commerce College Road, Mirpur -2, Dhaka- 1216, Bangladesh

²Senior Officer, Eastern Bank Limited. Dhaka, Bangladesh, Ex-Lecturer, Department of Economics,
Bangladesh University of Business & Technology, Bangladesh

³Lecturer, Department of Economics, Bangladesh University of Business & Technology, Bangladesh

ABSTRACT

The importance of foreign remittances in the economy of Bangladesh is widely recognized and requires little reiteration. Here data about remittance and GNP is taken from 1990-91 to 2009-10. The sensitivity analysis has been run to find relationship between GNP and remittance flows. Here the hypothesis testing of this study indicate that the sensitivity of the GNP or available foreign reserve total to the yearly remittance flow volatile in case of Bangladesh. Yearly flow of remittance and GNP in Bangladesh are not independent. Very limited empirical works had been done in relation to the actual impact of remittances on incomes. This paper has used to explore the actual impacts of remittance on GNP through scientific analysis. The recommendation part represents different constraints about remittance flow and the role of government in that respects. Finally conclusion is made by summarizing the empirical verification as well as results and potentiality of such flows of remittance on GNP and economic growth of Bangladesh.

KEYWORDS: Foreign reserve, Hypothesis testing, Remittance, Sensitivity,

INTRODUCTION

The importance of foreign remittances in the economy of Bangladesh is widely recognized and requires little reiteration. Along with the readymade garment (RMG) sector and non-farm activities in the agricultural sector, remittances have been identified as one of the three key factors that have been responsible for reducing the overall incidence of poverty in Bangladesh. The volume of remittances from Bangladeshi migrant workers exceeded USD 4 billion in early 2007 (the *Daily Star*, 27 February 2007), a figure which dwarfs the amount of yearly foreign direct assistance received by the country. It is therefore striking that very limited empirical work has been done in relation to the actual impact of remittances on incomes.

People usually migrate internationally to change their destiny. This international migration transforms not only the destiny of individual migrants but also the conditions of their families living in their own country. Remittances have become the most powerful means to maintain relationship with migrants with their societies of origin. International migrant remittance or simply remittance is the surplus portion of earnings sent back by nationals or the expatriate community from the country of employment. Over the years remittance has emerged as an important source of external development finance. In 2003, the Global Development Finance Annual Report took formal notice of remittances as a source of external development finance for the first time.

In the year 2000, remittance flow was over US \$72 billion and among this amount the developing countries represent a large proportion of world financial flows and the amount was substantially more than global official development assistance, more than capital market flows and more than half of foreign direct investment flows to these countries. To emphasize the importance of remittance for the developing world, it was estimated that 60 percent of global remittances were sent to developing countries in the year 2000. Lower middle-income countries apparently receive the largest amounts, but remittances may constitute a much higher share of the total international capital flow to low-income countries.

To further emphasize the development dimension of migrant transfers, remittances seem to be more stable than private capital flows and to be less volatile to changing economic cycles. It may, therefore, be concluded that monetary remittances play a most important role in the accounts of many developing countries and are crucial to the survival of poor individuals and communities around the world. The emphasis of development policy is now firmly on poverty alleviation and the achievement of the Millennium Development Goals, which, in addition to the eradication of extreme poverty, also envisage improvement of health and education, gender equality and empowerment of women, the reduction of infant and child mortality, access to safe drinking water and sanitation, and the improvement of the lives of both rural and urban poor people.

International migration of labor has become an integral part of the global economy. Almost all countries are involved in the migration process in one way or other. Some are participating as labour sending countries, some as receiving, and others as transit countries. Increase in migrant flow is associated with growing flows of remittances. Global figures show that official remittances has increased from less than US\$ 2 billion in 1970 to US\$ 70 billion in 1995 (ILO, 2000) which does not include informal transfers.

Remittances to Bangladesh have been growing steadily over the last decade. Since its independence in 1971, more than 3 million Bangladeshis have left the country in search of employment. The central bank estimates their cumulative remittances during 1976-2003 at round US\$22 billion (Azad, 2005). Recognizing their economic importance, the government for years has had legislation, policies, and an institutional structure in place to facilitate the migration of its citizens. Now the question is why sudden importance is put into the perception of remittances? The fact is that the absolute and the relative volumes of workers' remittances are increasing. They have shown a steady increase over the last decade.

The amount of remittance flows to developing countries already surpassed that of official resource inflows. Since 1999, workers' remittances have been the second largest resource flowing into developing countries after foreign direct investment (FDI). In addition workers' remittances are not liabilities but cash transfers from overseas, which in principle, they do not cost any to recipient countries. As there has been much debate about external debt and its negative effect on growth, this feature is very attractive force. (Fariah Houque, 2006)

This report assesses the scope and origin of migrant remittances in Bangladesh. It examines the current role of transferring remittance on gross National Product and Foreign Reserve during the period of 1990 to 2010 in the case of Bangladesh, and macro-economic background against which such transfers takes place. It also evaluates current use of remittance, the characteristics and needs of remittance sending and remittance receiving persons. The study also explores the possible role of micro-finance institutions in attracting, transferring, and administering remittance.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

Bangladesh is a least developed country, where there are no available true information streams in most of the sectors. The trading of a foreign exchange market makes the information, which may be true, or not. By using the series of yearly remittance quantity (in terms of million \$), this paper wants to investigate the sensitivity of remittance flow variation to the GNP and foreign reserve quantity. The specific objective of the study is to find out The practical verification in most of the developed countries consistent with the view that both migrant remittance flow into domestic economy market and foreign money promote economic growth jointly. Does the same apply to Bangladesh or not.

LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

Unavailability of accurate and quality data on remittance and some other economic indicators is the main limitation of this study. Unofficial inflow of remittance, which is not included in the official remittance data, is another shortcoming of the analysis of this report. However, the data is standardized but it would have more interesting if exchange rate issue were also added and analyzed.

SIGNIFICANCE OF REMITTANCE

Remittances are playing an increasingly large role in the economies of many countries, contributing to economic growth and to the livelihoods of less prosperous people (though generally not the poorest of the poor). As remittance receivers often have a higher propensity to own a bank account, remittances promote access to financial services for the sender and recipient, an essential aspect of leveraging remittances to promote economic development. There is growing evidence that remittances have reduced poverty levels in several developing nations. One study of 71 developing countries found that a per capita increase of 10% in international remittances leads to a 3.5% decline in people living in poverty. In another study, the World Bank concluded that, based on available data, remittances have been associated with reduced poverty in several low-income countries such as Uganda (11% reduction), Bangladesh (6% reduction), and Guatemala (20% reduction). Still, remittances are not hazard-free. For instance, large

inflows into small economies can cause the domestic exchange rate to appreciate (i.e., the domestic currency becomes more expensive relative to foreign currency), thereby making tradable items, such as cash crops and manufactured goods, less profitable. Also, governments may develop a dependency on large flows of remittances, thus creating a disincentive to pursue aggressive economic policies to promote sustained development. There are other problematic aspects associated with remittances. Here we will focus on four.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Unfortunately, data and information on Remittance in Bangladesh are relatively limited. Impact of Remittance on Gross National Product, balance of payment, investment, and national savings, It is clear, indeed obvious, that the most important macro-economic impact of financial flow arising from international labor migration is on the GNP and balance –of –payments and through that on the economy as a whole.

When migrants send home part of their earnings in the form of either cash or goods to support their families, these transfers are known as workers' or migrant remittances (*Ratha, 2005*). Remittances have been growing rapidly in the past few years and now represent the largest source of foreign income for many developing countries. The official data on the inflow of remittances into Bangladesh refers to the transfer of funds made by migrant workers through the banking channel (and through post offices) (*Mahmud, 1989*). The records of such transfers can be easily separated from other foreign exchange transactions since these take place under what is known as the Wage Earners' Scheme (WES). According to *Ratha (2005)*, it is hard to estimate the exact size of remittance flows because many transfers take place through unofficial channels. Worldwide, officially recorded international migrant remittances are projected to exceed \$232 billion in 2005, with \$167 billion flowing to developing countries. To sum up, we can say that migrants' families enjoy a higher standard of living and status than what it was before migration (*Rahman, 2001*).

An IMF study (*Chami, et al, 2005*) based on panel data (annual) of 87 countries during the period 1980-2003 suggests that while host country GNP has statistically positive impact on remittances, home country GNP, presence of multiple exchange rates and black market premier, restrictions on holding foreign exchange reserve have significant positive impact on the same. Variables like financial development, political risk, law and order, relative investment opportunity were found to be of little significance in influencing inward remittance flows. The study also estimated that removal of all exchange rate distortions led remittances to increase by 1-2 percentage points of GDP, implying that policies and regulations have important bearing on the inflow of remittances. This point is corroborated in Bruyn and Kuddus (2005). In his view, remittances through formal channels were bolstered by anti-terrorism policies adopted by international communities.

ESTIMATION OF THE MODELS USING TIME SERIES DATA

Primarily, we shall check whether remittance fluctuation matters for bringing about a change in GNP and Foreign reserve (FR). The response of **GNP** and **Foreign reserve (FR)** towards the residuals can be used as a good measure in this regard. Basically this is the key factor that plays the fundamental role in drawing the attention of potential economy. Table-1 summarizes the estimated results. Clearly, both GNP and FR respond to residuals positively for remittance (Remit), response found for FR is larger than GNP.

$$\mathbf{GNP} = \mathbf{m}_1 + \mathbf{n}_1 \mathbf{e}_t \quad \text{-----} \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{FR} = \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{e}_t \quad \text{-----} \quad (2)$$

The result of Model-1 and 2 is shown in Table-1 in Appendix section :

As it has been found that GNP and FR change due to the change in remittance, which is a tool to quantify national economy, therefore, we require verifying whether and how the variation of Remittance (Remit) has an effect on “**GNP**” and “**FR**” in context of Bangladesh economy. Detailed estimated results are given in the following table by using the data shown in Appendix section with table-4.

The main objective of this study is to examine the sensitivity of the GNP and Foreign reserve to the fluctuation of Remittance flow where we consider the yearly amount of Gross National Product and Foreign Reserve. In an efficient Economy, the fluctuation of remittance flow yearly can create an unpredictable behavior on volume of GNP and Foreign reserve, which is generally shown in most of the dynamic economy in the world.

In this regard, as a general case the proposed models are

$$\mathbf{GNP} = \alpha + \beta \mathbf{Re\ mit} + \varepsilon \quad \text{----} \quad (3)$$

$$\mathbf{FR} = \delta + \gamma \mathbf{Re\ mit} + \varepsilon \quad \text{-----} \quad (4)$$

The result of Model-3 and 4 is provided with Table-2 in Appendix section:

Comments

- i) The sign of the constant term is positive which is expected as there is always a fixed amount of **GNP** and **FR** which is irrespective to interest rate and remittance flow fluctuation. The constant term is significant at 5% level of theoretical “t” ratio. It is the intercept of the regression function.
- ii) The sign of the coefficient of remittance (**Remit**) is positive (0.8574 & 0.9124) which is expected and shows the positive relation between GNP and Foreign Reserve. It is nearly significant at 5% level.

- iii) $R^2 = 0.7542$ & 0.8142 which shows good fit of the model. The explanatory variables explain more than 75% and 81 % of the variation in the dependent variable.
- iv) Durbin-Watson (DW) = 4.21 and 5.23 indicates that there is no autocorrelation problem

FORMULATION OF THE RESEARCH HYPOTHESIS

The foremost goal of these lessons is to observe the sensitivity of the GNP and Foreign reserve to the fluctuation of Remittance flow where we consider the yearly amount of Gross National Product and Foreign Reserve. In a proficient Economy, the fluctuation of remittance flow yearly can create an unpredictable behavior on volume of GNP and Foreign reserve, which is in the main shown in most of the energetic nation in the planet. That’s why we need to test the significance of coefficient of the regarding regression model which is discussed below:

By the way, as a common case the planned models are

$$\text{GNP} = \alpha + \beta \text{ Re mit} + \varepsilon \text{ ----} \tag{5}$$

$$\text{FR} = \delta + \gamma \text{ Re mit} + \varepsilon \text{ ----} \tag{6}$$

The null & alternative hypotheses are as follows:

Null Hypothesis $H_0: \beta = 0$ or $H_0: \gamma = 0$ (no sensitivity exists between GNP, FR & Remit)

Alternative Hypothesis $H_1: \beta \neq 0$ or $H_1: \gamma \neq 0$ (sensitivity exists between GNP, FR & Remit)

To test the sensitivity of the Remittance flow volatility to the Gross National Product (GNP), the following hypotheses are formulated as:

Null Hypothesis $H_0: \beta = 0$ (no sensitivity exists between GNP & Remit)
Alternative Hypothesis $H_1: \beta \neq 0$ (sensitivity exists between GNP & Remit)

Null hypothesis can not be accepted or rejected, i.e.
Sensitivity or relation exists between GNP and Remittance.
Again,

Null Hypothesis $H_0: \gamma = 0$ (no sensitivity exists between FR & Remit)
Alternative Hypothesis $H_1: \gamma \neq 0$ (sensitivity exists between FR & Remit)

relation exists between FR and Remittance.

RECOMMENDATIONS

A quantitative estimate of the impact of remittances on the macro economy was attempted for the first time with Bangladesh data, confirming the existence of a strong and positive impact. The existing

fiscal rules of the government are remittance-friendly: Remittances are not taxed and baggage rules are generous. However, there remains considerable governance problem- harassment by customs, police and martins at every opportunity. An intensive campaign has to be launched so that non-resident Bangladeshis are allowed to open foreign currency accounts and that transactions through banks and exchange houses are not only secure, but also are tax exempt. Messages should also be put across that when migrants return and want to invest in projects, they can justify their remittance as legitimate earnings. This will help them secure further funds from other sources.

The government as well as private sector can establish more high quality technical, polytechnics and vocational institutes that can supply skilled and professional personnel. The government should develop new foreign policy and assigned a professional person at each diplomatic mission office abroad to explore the potentials of manpower export in that country and properly lobby with different concerned persons of that country. The government should eliminate regulatory constraint of transferring remittance for MFIs/NGOs. The government as well as MFIs/NGOs can provide Business Development Services to the remittances recipient families to start and operate an enterprise. If the government makes the regulation flexible regarding savings and credit products of MFIs/NGOs, then the MFIs/NGOs can develop appropriate savings, credit and insurance products for remittance recipient families. The Government can also establish Investment Company to channel more capital through MFIs/NGOs to the remittance recipient families which are operating enterprise.

Moreover, the government can make easy access to the capital market for the remittance recipient families. Although at present there is a provision for quota of foreign investors or nonresident Bangladeshis during Initial Public Offering(IPO) of issuing shares, but this process is so critical that most of the time this quota doesn't fulfilled. The government should make this quota system of issuing IPO also applicable for the families of the non-resident Bangladeshis, so that they can invest in the capital market. NRIS can affect the remittances in foreign currencies with instructions for conversion into Bangladeshi TK at the Indian end to get the benefit of a better exchange rate. The RBI also suggested awareness programmed through the banks' web sites to encourage Non Resident Bangladeshis to use Bangladeshi banks or foreign banks having branches in India to transfer money to Bangladesh.

CONCLUSIONS

The yearly remittance flow variation and GNP are not independent and the hypothesis says that the responsiveness of the GNP or available foreign reserve total to the remittance flow volatility is significantly high in most of the dynamic economies in the region. The experimental results of this study indicate that the sensitivity of the GNP or available foreign reserve total to the yearly remittance flow volatility in case of Bangladesh is very high too. Remittance has become an important aspect for the developing countries like Bangladesh for socioeconomic development of the country. The migration reduces the pressure of unemployment in the developing country. The flow of short-term and permanent migration from Bangladesh is increasing day by day.

The future growth of Bangladesh will depend on promoting export, sustaining remittances and triggering foreign direct investment. Moreover, in the presence of positive response of the GNP or available foreign reserve total to residuals, this study found that both the GNP and available foreign reserve total are positively related with the remittance flow volatility. Then the variation of remittance flow indicates a highly sensitivity to the domestic GNP and foreign reserve. Although the government has undertaken several initiatives to increase remittances flow, but still something more to do. Moreover, Bangladesh is role model and considered as pioneer in Microfinance. Many Microfinance Institutes (MFIS) and Non-Government Organizations (NGOs) are operating in Bangladesh. But still this remittance sector is untapped by them. Gross National Product (GNP) is the market value of all goods and services produced in one year by labor and property supplied by the residents of a country. It is supposed to reflect the average income of a nation's citizens. GNP does not distinguish between qualitative improvements in the state of the technical arts (e.g. increasing computer processing speeds), and quantitative increases in goods (e.g. number of computers produced), and considers both to be forms of "economic growth"

Remittances enhance savings and investment, and thus help augment capital formation and overall economic development. There is a definite correlation between macro-economic policies operational in the remittance receiving state and its flow. Inflation and exchange rates affect flow of remittance. This is an important consideration for migrants with the ability and proclivity to save. Major fluctuations in inflation and exchange rates will tend to dissuade migrants who are likely to save in the home country. Like any other investor, fiscal policies and tax regimes are also other important considerations in the migrants' decision to engage in productive investment.

REFERENCES

1. Asian Development Bank. (2005). Asian Development Outlook 2005: Promoting Competition for Long-Term Development. Asian Development Bank. Manila.
2. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics (BBS) .(2010). Authors' calculation, based on data obtained from CPD –IRBD Database -- 2009
3. Bangladesh Bank (2010). Economic Trends, September, 2010, Volume XXIX, No.5, Remittance flow & Statistics Department. Dhaka.
4. Chami,R., Barajas,A., and Cosimano ,T. (2008). Macroeconomic Consequence of Remittances .International Monetary Fund. Washington, DC.
5. Economic Review. Ministry of Finance and Planning.Economic Advisor's Wing Finance Division, Ministry of Finance, (2005). Bangladesh
6. Economic Advisor's Wing Finance Division, Ministry of Finance, (2005). Bangladesh Economic Review. Ministry of Finance and Planning.

7. Economic Advisor's Wing Finance Division, Ministry of Finance, (2010). Bangladesh Economic Review. Ministry of Finance and Planning.
8. Houque, F. (2006). General Overview of Inflow of Remittance in Bangladesh Economy. Independent University of Bangladesh, Bangladesh.
9. International Organization for Migration, (2005). World Migration, Cost and benefit of International Migration. Switzerland.
10. International Organization for Migration (IOM) 2000 World Migration Report 2000. (2003), Geneva. IOM 2003 World Migration Report 2003, Geneva
11. International Monetary Fund. (1993). Balance of Payments Manual Fifth Edition. Washington, D.C.
12. Maimbo. S. and Ratha. D. (2005). Remittances: Development Impact and Future Prospects. Washington, DC: World Bank.
13. Mimbo,S., Adam, R., Aggarwal, R., and Passs,N. (2005). Migrant Labor Remittances in South Asia. The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank. Washington, D.C.
14. Osmani, S. R., & Mahmud, W. (2003). The Microeconomics of Poverty Reduction: The case study of Bangladesh. Nepal: The Asia Pacific of Regional Programme on Macroeconomics of Poverty Reduction.
15. Rahman, A. (2001). Indian Labour Migration to the Gulf. New Delhi: Mrs. SeemaWasa
16. Ratha, D. (2005). Worker's Remittances: An Important and Stable Source of External
17. Development Finance. World Bank. Washington. D.C.
18. Raihan, A., & Mahmood, M. (Eds.). (2004). Trade Negotiations on Temporary Movement of Natural Person: A Strategy Paper for Bangladesh. Bangladesh: Central for Policy Dialogue
19. Rita,A., Yunus,M., and Islam,S. (2000). 'Are Migrants Chasing after the Golden Deer: A Study on Cost Benefit Analysis of Overseas. Bangladesh Bank 1998 Guidelines for Foreign Exchange Transactions: Volume I, Dhaka.
20. Siddiqui, T., Abrar, R. (2003). Migrant Worker Remittances and Micro-Finance in Bangladesh. Refugee and Migratory Movements Research Unit). International Labour Office(ILO)

APPENDICES

Table 1: Estimated Results of Model-1 & 2

Model	Parameter	Co-efficient	Std. Error	t-Ratio (df 18)	p-value	R ²
GNP = $m_1 + n_1 e_t$	n_1	0.8245	0.0721	1.48	0.056	0.5725
	$m_1(\text{constant})$	2112.4	229.63	30.2	0	
FR = $p_1 + q_1 e_t$	q_1	0.8854	0.0793	1.68	0.087	0.6234
	$p_1(\text{constant})$	4213.5	312.6	38.42	0	

Table 2: Estimated Results of Model-3 and Model 4

Model	Parameter	Co-efficient	Std. Error	t-Ratio (df 18)	p-value	R ²
GNP = $\alpha + \beta$ Remit	β	0.8574	0.3825	1.87	0.043	0.7542
	α (Constant)	2134.21	41.3	42.11	0.000	
FR = $\delta + \gamma$ Remit	γ	0.9124	0.8761	1.56	0.104	0.8142
	δ (Constant)	2134.22	144.9	22.53	0.000	

Table 3: Growth Rate of Remittance, GNP, and Trade Balance during the year 1990-2010

No. of Year	Fiscal Year	Growth rate of Remittance (%)	Growth Rate of GNP	Growth Rate of Remittance as % GNP	Remittances as % GNP	Remit % of Trade Balance
1	2009-2010*	1.42	0.65	1.11	4.01	75.214
2	2008-2009	1.65	0.42	0.82	2.89	72.123
3	2007-2008	1.05	0.21	0.76	3.45	78.321
4	2006-2007	1.02	1.34	1.24	3.87	71.245
5	2005-2006	1.23	2.05	-0.52	2.21	68.852
6	2004-2005	0.42	1.32	0.28	4.86	59.248
7	2003-2004	0.51	0.05	0.05	3.68	64.369
8	2002-2003	0.23	-0.15	-0.25	1.87	57.214
9	2001-2002	0.84	0.1	0.23	2.24	61.247
10	2000-2001	0.56	0.07	0.21	3.65	60.127
11	1999-2000	0.51	0.21	0.01	1.74	48.123
12	1998-1999	0.52	0.14	0.04	2.65	54.321
13	1997-1998	0.12	0.45	0.06	3.57	57.668
14	1996-1997	0.03	0.05	-0.02	3.36	62.123
15	1995-1996	0.21	0.06	0.15	3.43	53.369
16	1994-1995	0.02	0.05	-0.03	2.99	36.866
17	1993-1994	0.58	0.25	0.26	3.07	48.898
18	1992-1993	1.11	0.28	0.66	2.44	41.427
19	1991-1992	0.8	0.27	0.42	1.47	38.856
20	1990-1991	0.54	0.06	0.51	1.04	33.737

Source: BBS

Working paper No. 38

Table 4: Data of Yearly-ended Total Remittance, Total GNP and Total Foreign Reserve Volume
(Source: Bangladesh Bank, Bangladesh Economic Review)

No. of Year.	Fiscal Year	Foreign Exchange Reserves (in Million US\$)	Total Quantity of GNP (in Million US\$)	Amount of Remittances (in Million US\$)	Average Exchange Rate (\$)
1	2009-2010*	10123	24200	10095.25	68.25
2	2008-2009	10234	23200	9689.26	67.03
3	2007-2008	8023	2100	7914.78	66.01
4	2006-2007	6021	19200	5998.47	65.26
5	2005-2006	4865	17200	4602.41	65
6	2004-2005	3245	12800	3148.29	60.53
7	2003-2004	3512	14400	3371.97	59.01
8	2002-2003	2805	13300	3061.97	55.9
9	2001-2002	1883	12400	2501.13	58.43
10	2000-2001	1307	11500	1882.1	56.96
11	1999-2000	1602	9600	1649.32	52.31
12	1998-1999	1523	9970	1705.74	53.06
13	1997-1998	1739	8570	1225.43	52.46
14	1996-1997	1719	8720	1475.42	48.7
15	1995-1996	2039	8150	1217.06	45.84
16	1994-1995	3070	6850	997.63	46.2
17	1993-1994	2765	7170	1088.72	43
18	1992-1993	2123	6680	944.57	44.01
19	1991-1992	2143	6200	849.66	42.01
20	1990-1991	2012	5800	763.91	42.53

Sources: Finance ministry, Bangladesh.

Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics (BBS)

Source: Authors' calculation, based on data obtained from CPD-IRBD Database 2003.

